Cabinet



Title of Report:	Home-Link Lettings Policy (West Suffolk Allocations Scheme – minor and technical amendments)				
Report No:	CAB/FH/16/008				
Report to and date:	Cabinet			10 February 2016	
Lead officer:	Simon Phelan Head of Housing Tel: 01638 719440 Email: <u>simon.phelan@westsuffolk.gov.uk</u>				
Purpose of report:	To approve the proposed revisions to the Home-Link Lettings Policy.				
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that the revised Home-Link Lettings Policy, as contained in Appendix A to Report No CAB/FH/16/000, be approved.				
Key Decision:		•		under which definition?	
(Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.)	Yes, it is a Key Decision - \Box No, it is not a Key Decision - \boxtimes				
The decisions made as a result of this report will usually be published within 48 hours and cannot be actioned until five clear working days of the publication of the decision have elapsed. This item is included on the					
Decisions Plan. Consultation:		Partners within the Cambridge Housing Sub- Region have been consulted and proposed revisions have been agreed by the Home-Link Management Board			
Alternative option(s):		To continue with the current Lettings Policy. This would mean the Council's policy would not be in- line with other councils within the Home-link scheme. The policy would also be out of date and could allow people to access housing who shouldn't due to loopholes not being closed.			
Implications:	l ing 1:			-	
Are there any financial implications?Yes \Box No \boxtimes If yes, please give details					
Are there any staffing implications?Yes \Box No \boxtimes If yes, please give details \Box \Box					

Are there any TCT	implications? If	Yes 🗆 No 🖂		
<i>Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details</i>				
Are there any legal and/or policy		Yes 🛛 No 🗆		
implications? If yes, please give		The Council is required under the		
details	, picase give	Housing Act 1996 to have a scheme for		
		the allocations of Social Housing that has		
			ncils Homelessness	
		and Tenancy Strategies. This revised		
			ils that requirement.	
Are there any equality implications?		$Yes \square No \boxtimes$		
If yes, please give of				
Risk/opportunity		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting		
		corporate, service or project objectives)		
Risk area	Inherent level of	Controls	Residual risk (after	
	risk (before		controls)	
Policy not aligned with	controls) Medium	Sub-regional	Low	
others in Home-Link.	Medium	consultation and	Low	
		agreement of		
		revisions to policy		
Ward(s) affected:		All Wards		
Background papers:		None		
(all background papers are to be				
published on the website and a link				
included)				
Documents attached:		(Please list any append	2	
		Appendix A - Revised Home-Link		
		Lettings Policy (shown with tracked changes)		
			no-Link Lattings Policy	
		Appendix B - Home-Link Lettings Policy Summary on consultation		
		Appendix C - Equ	alities Impact	
		Assessment.	ancies impact	
1		I	I	

1. Key issues and reasons for the recommendation

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 Home-Link is the Choice Based Lettings (CBL) scheme for the Cambridgeshire and West Suffolk Housing sub-region. Introduced in February 2008, the scheme operates across seven local authority areas in the sub-region. Available affordable housing properties are advertised on a regular cycle agreed by the partners. Applicants on the housing register, commonly known as the housing waiting list, are asked to express interest in available properties. The properties are offered to the applicant in the highest need who has been waiting longest in that needs band.
- 1.1.2 Each Local Authority has its own Lettings Policy and is responsible for implementing any changes to that policy. Many elements of the Lettings Policy have been agreed across the sub-region and they cannot be amended without agreement of all local authorities across the sub-region. This includes eligibility criteria, banding structure and assessment of need, local connection criteria, sub-regional allocations, intentionally worsening housing circumstances, registration date and date in band definitions, the shortlisting process.
- 1.1.3 The Home-Link Management Board recognised that the Local Authorities Lettings Policies needed to be updated to include changes in Government policy such as Right to Move regulations. A comprehensive review of the lettings policy was conducted in 2012 to comply with the implementation of Localism Act 2011, as such these proposed changes are generally minor in nature.
- 1.1.4 Home-Link has also undertaken an IT procurement exercise resulting in a change of IT supplier from April 2016. The new IT system will have a minimal impact on service users, however help and support will be available where needed.

1.2 Key changes

1.2.1 The proposed changes to the Lettings Policy are set out in the table below. The changes are a mix of future proofing, closing loopholes and clarifying technical points. Partners, Registered Housing Providers and Housing Register applicants within the sub-region have been consulted with (summary of responses attached) and the changes have been approved by the Home-Link Management Board, for consideration and approval by Members in the councils across the sub-region.

Relevant paragraph	Issue and rationale for change
1.2a and through-out the document	To 'future proof' the document the policy will just refer to the Housing Act (1996) as amended and not cite specific Acts such as Homelessness Act 2002 or Localism Act 2011)
2.1.5a	'Future proof' change – removal of reference to the specific Act.
2.1.5b	Qualification is for social housing specifically.
3.1.3	Flexibility to exclude from the register those who are subject to

Relevant	Issue and rationale for change
paragraph	
(please also	immigration control, not only as applicants but as household
refer 5.4.1)	members.
3.3.1h	New wording to take account of the new Right to Move regulations
3.4.1	Closing a loophole to ensure that perpetrators of unacceptable
	behaviour could not get rehoused simply by nominating another
	household member as the applicant. Also adding in the provision to
	bar transfer applicants or previous tenants who have allowed their
	properties to fall into disrepair.
3.4.3	Closing the loophole as outlined in 3.4.1 above.
4.4.2	Minor wording improvement.
4.6 (b)	Minor wording change to reflect the application of this Banding
	assessment.
4.8 (b)	Wording required tidying.
4.10.2 (a)	If debts are not legally 'recoverable' or statute barred (i.e. where
	no correspondence about the debt has occurred in the last 6 years)
	then the authority cannot take these into account in allocations either.
4.10.2 (b)	Closing another loophole around unacceptable behaviour that is not
4.10.2 (0)	ASB.
4.10.3	Minor wording change.
4.10.4	See explanation for 4.10.2 (a) above.
4.11.1	Technical legal point. In order to act deliberately improve your
	housing priority you need first to be aware of what the housing
	priority system is. The change would take away the implication that
	the applicant needed to know this before acting. It makes it a more
	similar principle to intentional homelessness - the applicant does
	not need to be aware of the legislation to be found Intentionally
	Homeless.
4.12	New clause - reflecting national guidance on allocating social
	housing to homeowners.
4.13.1	Minor wording improvement.
4.13.1 (c)	Need to include rents as well as property prices in the assessment
& (d)	
5.10.1	Emergency housing status to be awarded to those who are
	terminally ill (as defined) and in band A as they do not have the
	luxury of time.
5.11.1 (d)	Where applicants are left in tenancies under Use and Occupation
	and it is felt it is unreasonable to move them e.g. elderly person who has lived in the property with his/her family for many years
	who has lived in the property with his/her family for many years but has no succession rights.
7.7.1	Address issue of applicants unreasonably refusing offers.
/./.⊥	